

UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

| ORNEY DOCKET NO. | AΠ | VENTOR | FIRST NAMED | FILING DATE | APPLICATION NO. |
|------------------|--------------|--------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|
| 933-149PCT | V | |) ZAVIALOV | 0 02/14/0 | 09/424,08 |
| EXAMINER | | \neg | HM12/061 | | |
| ANDRES, J | | | | WART KOLASC | BIRCH STE |
| PAPER NUMBER | ART UNIT | | | 7 | PO BOX 74 |
| // | | , | 0-0747 | RCH VA 2204 | FALLS CHU |
| | 1646 | | | | |
| | DATE MAILED: | | | | |
| 06/13/01 | | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

| | And the Atlanta | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | 09/424,080 | ZAVIALOV ET AL. | | | | | |
| , and a state of the state of t | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Janet L Andres | 1646 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | | |
| l | s action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-18</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8)⊠ Claims <u>1, 3-18</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved. | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 18) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) | | | | | |
| 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 19) Notice of Informal F | Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/424,080

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

There are two groups of species.

Group I, immunosuppressants:

- a) cyclosporins
- b) FK 506
- c) rapamycin

Group II, bioactive peptides:

- a) a-peptoferin
- b) albeferon
- c) albebetin
- d) mixtures thereof

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 09/424,080

Art Unit: 1646

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: These are each distinct molecules with distinct structures and characteristics. Although each represents an independent inventive solution to the problem posed, no common inventive concept exists among them.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janet Andres, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 305-0557. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler, Ph.D., can be reached at (703) 308-6564. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 305-3014 or (703) 308-4242.

Communications via internet mail regarding this application, other than those under U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [yvonne.eyler@uspto.gov].

All Internet email communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that

sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark Office on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Janet Andres, Ph.D. June 5, 2001

WONNE EYLER, PH.D

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600